LPS **Presta Software**
Les Logiciels Presta inc.

VITRINE · SECURITY OBSERVATION REPORT · V0.69

Security observation report: Presta Software Inc.

TO	Presta Software Inc., owner of prestasoftware.com		
FROM	Marco Presta, Presta Software Inc.	DATE	2026-11-07
SUBJECT	A read-only check of prestasoftware.com on 2026-11-07 at 15:46 UTC: 1 medium, 1 low, 9 for information		

YOURS AND YOUR PLATFORM'S

All 11 items below are yours or your web person's to act on.

HANDLING	For you, the owner of the site, with your agreement. Not published and not shared with anyone else.

WHY YOU ARE RECEIVING THIS

With your agreement, I ran a read-only check of your public website **prestasoftware.com** on 2026-11-07 at 10:46 Eastern time (technical record: captured 2026-11-07T15:46:27Z, run 2026-11-07). My tool made 7 requests in all, every one to prestasoftware.com: 6 ordinary page requests like a browser's, and 1 check of the secure connection. It only read what came back; nothing was changed, tested or broken into (details under *How this was observed*). The security-relevant rows are in the appendix (a few website-design checks that are not about security are left out) and the stored evidence is available on request. For the record, your agreement is on file as: "recorded on 2026-11-07: own-site sample for the Vitrine collateral".

WHAT A STRANGER LEARNS ABOUT YOUR SITE IN AN HOUR, WITHOUT TOUCHING IT

Each line says what is visible from outside. None says anything has happened to your site.

Who runs this, and on what

- Your domain's DNS host names and network name are visible in public records

What names exist

- Names seen in public certificate logs

Your addresses and your mail

- Your DMARC policy is p=none: receivers take no action on mail that fails

What else loads on your pages

- Third-party services observed on the entry page

WHAT MATTERS MOST, IN ORDER

YOUR PRIVACY PAGE AND YOUR TRACKERS

From the same entry-page response already read above (no extra request): your privacy-link signal, third-party service groups and cookie names set before consent.

In Quebec, Law 25 asks a business that collects personal information online to publish a clear privacy policy that names its cookies and third parties.

- **No privacy-page link detected on the entry page** `privacy-link-missing` MEDIUM OBSERVED. We found no link to a privacy page in your entry page as the server first sends it (link text or address). A link your site adds later with a script would not appear in this reading. Visitors may not find your privacy policy quickly. *What to do:* Add a visible footer or header link to your privacy policy from the entry page, in the language of the page. *Reference:* good practice. *Observed:* `https://prestasoftware.com: no privacy link (looked for privacy / confidentialité / vie privée / privacidade / renseignements personnels / données personnelles / donnees personnelles / personal information / Loi 25 / Law 25 in anchor text or href)`
- **Third-party services observed on the entry page** `third-parties-listed` INFO OBSERVED. Third-party services seen on the entry page are grouped as follows: analytics: none; advertising: none; tag manager: none; embeds: none; chat: none; other: static.cloudflareinsights.com. *What to do:* Use this grouped list to update your privacy policy and your internal technology register. *Reference:* good practice. *Observed:* `https://prestasoftware.com: analytics: none; ads_pixels: none; tag_managers: none; embeds: none; chat: none; other: static.cloudflareinsights.com`

FOR INFORMATION

- **No CAA line is published for your domain** [caa-missing, info, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Your domain **prestasoftware.com** publishes no CAA line. That line can name which certificate authority is allowed to issue certificates for your domain. *What to do:* Ask whoever manages your DNS and certificate issuance to add a CAA line, or to confirm why none is wanted. *Reference:* RFC 8659 (CAA). *Observed:* `prestasoftware.com CAA: no CAA record`
- **Your style policy allows inline style code ('unsafe-inline')** `csp-weak-style` INFO OBSERVED. Your Content-Security-Policy style rules still allow inline style code (`style-src allows 'unsafe-inline'`). *What to do:* Prefer external stylesheets, or use hashes/nonces for inline style blocks that must remain. *Reference:* W3C Content Security Policy Level 3. *Observed:* `https://prestasoftware.com: style-src allows 'unsafe-inline'`
- **Names seen in public certificate logs** [ct-names-seen, info, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Public certificate logs list these names under **prestasoftware.com**: www.prestasoftware.com. They were read from the logs only; none of those names was contacted. *What to do:* Confirm each name is expected; if one is old or unknown, review where its certificate is used. *Reference:* public certificate logs (certspotter and crt.sh). *Observed:* `prestasoftware.com CT names: www.prestasoftware.com; these names were not contacted`
- **Your domain's DNS host names and network name are visible in public records** [dns-host-named, info, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Public DNS records for **prestasoftware.com** name these DNS servers: beau.ns.cloudflare.com, martha.ns.cloudflare.com. The public RDAP record of the first A address points to this network name: CLOUDFLARENET. *What to do:* Keep this as your "who to ask" line when DNS fails: these names and that network are where DNS support starts. *Reference:* public DNS and RDAP records. *Observed:* `prestasoftware.com NS: beau.ns.cloudflare.com, martha.ns.cloudflare.com; who to ask: CLOUDFLARENET`
- **No DNSSEC DS line is published for your domain** [dnssec-off, info, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Your domain **prestasoftware.com** has no DS line at its parent zone, so DNSSEC is not published for it. *What to do:* Ask your DNS provider or registrar whether DNSSEC can be turned on, and if so publish the DS line at the parent zone. *Reference:* RFC 4033-4035 (DNSSEC). *Observed:* `prestasoftware.com DS: no DS record at the parent zone`
- **No MTA-STS DNS line is published for your domain** [mta-sts-missing, info, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Your domain **_mta-sts.prestasoftware.com** has no TXT line at _mta-sts._mta-sts.prestasoftware.com, so no MTA-STS policy is advertised there. *What to do:* If you run mail for this domain, ask your mail provider whether to publish MTA-STS for inbound mail. *Reference:* RFC 8461 (MTA-STS). *Observed:* `_mta-sts.prestasoftware.com TXT: no TXT record`
- **No TLS-RPT DNS line is published for your domain** [tls-rpt-missing, info, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Your domain **_smtp._tls.prestasoftware.com** has no TXT line with v=TLSRPTv1 at _smtp._tls._smtp._tls.prestasoftware.com, so SMTP TLS reports are not configured there. *What to do:* Ask your mail provider whether to publish a TLS-RPT line and where reports should be sent. *Reference:* RFC 8460 (SMTP TLS Reporting). *Observed:* `_smtp._tls.prestasoftware.com TXT: no v=TLSRPTv1 record`

YOUR MAIL AND YOUR DOMAIN

These items come from public records, not from your website: the mail settings your domain **prestasoftware.com** publishes in the DNS, read through a public DNS resolver, and the domain registry's public record of it. None of these reads reached your server.

- **Your DMARC policy is p=none: receivers take no action on mail that fails** [dmarc-none, low, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Your DMARC line (`v=DMARC1; p=none; rua=mailto:lps01@prestasoftware.com`) asks receivers to take no action on mail that fails the checks; p=none is the usual first step. Reports go to mailto:lps01@prestasoftware.com, so the exposure is visible to whoever reads them. *What to do:* Read the reports for a few weeks and list every service that really sends your mail, then raise the policy to p=quarantine, and later to p=reject. *Reference:* RFC 7489 (DMARC), section 6.3. *Observed:* `_dmarc.prestasoftware.com TXT: "v=DMARC1; p=none; rua=mailto:lps01@prestasoftware.com"; p=none; reports to mailto:lps01@prestasoftware.com`
- **Your SPF line names outside services that may send mail as you** [spf-names-left-services, info, public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site]. Your domain's SPF line lets these outside services send mail in your name: _spf.mx.cloudflare.net. Each one is a permission given at some point; a service you no longer use still passes as you. *What to do:* Confirm each name with whoever runs your email, and remove the ones you no longer use; nothing here says that a service is doing anything wrong. *Reference:* RFC 7208 (SPF), section 5.2. *Observed:* `prestasoftware.com TXT: "v=spf1 include:_spf.mx.cloudflare.net ~all"; names: _spf.mx.cloudflare.net`

HOW THIS WAS OBSERVED

In plain language: the observer opened your page the way a visitor's browser does, looked at a few standard files, and did not log in, fill in any form or try to break anything. The record, for whoever looks after your site:

User-Agent `vitrine-observe/0.1 (Presta Software; passive observation; +https://www.prestasoftware.com/en/vitrine/)`. Your logs show this User-Agent string. Per host, only: the entry URL (`https://prestasoftware.com/`, server redirects followed, at most 5 hops), the http:// form of the entry for one hop, /.well-known/security.txt, /robots.txt, one deliberately nonexistent path, /sitemap.xml, at most one first-party script's .map URL, and, if the entry answers on https://, a TLS handshake pinned to 1.2 (plus one pinned to 1.3 only when the entry connection did not already use 1.3). At most 13 contacts per host, at least 1 s apart, 20 s timeouts, at most one retry, stopping after any 403 or 429. HTTP status at the end of the entry chain: 200. No POST, no forms, no login, no path enumeration, no exploitation. Severity is the observer's fixed rating per rule, not an assessment of your risk. In this run: 7 contacts in all, every one to prestasoftware.com, none to any other host. Followed pages (0): none. Your domain's public records were read separately, through public services (a DNS resolver, the domain registry), never from your site; they are not among these contacts.

CONTEXT

The reference beside each item is the standard it cites; the severity is the observer's fixed rating per rule, not an assessment of your risk. Nothing here is a compliance determination, and your own judgement about your site governs.

NEXT STEP

Reply if you want the stored record for your site (the list of requests with their times and answers) or a second review after you change something (you allowed me to look at your site until 2026-12-05). To have any of this re-checked, give whoever looks after your site the attached 00-HOW-TO-REPRODUCE.md. This report is not published and will not be; it goes only to you.

REPRODUCE THIS YOURSELF

The attached file 00-HOW-TO-REPRODUCE.md is for whoever looks after your website, or a technician you hire: it lets them repeat these same read-only checks at any time and explains how to read the results. You do not need to run anything yourself. It only reads what any visitor can see; use it only on sites you own or are authorized to check.

APPENDIX: OBSERVED ROWS FOR THIS HOST

rule	severity	confidence	observed	reference	captured (UTC)
`privacy-link-missing`	MEDIUM	observed	https://prestasoftware.com: no privacy link (looked for privacy / confidentialité / vie privée / privacidade / renseignements personnels / données personnelles / donnees personnelles / personal information / Loi 25 / Law 25 in anchor text or href)	good practice	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z
`dmarc-none`	LOW	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	_dmarc.prestasoftware.com TXT: "v=DMARC1; p=none; rua=mailto:lps01@prestasoftware.com"; p=none; reports to mailto:lps01@prestasoftware.com	RFC 7489 section 6.3 (p=none requests no action; rua= names the report address)	2026-11-07T15:46:35Z
`caa-missing`	INFO	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	prestasoftware.com CAA: no CAA record	RFC 8659 (CAA): certificate authority authorization records	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z
`csp-weak-style`	INFO	observed	https://prestasoftware.com: style-src allows 'unsafe-inline'	W3C Content Security Policy Level 3 (style-src semantics)	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z
`ct-names-seen`	INFO	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	prestasoftware.com CT names: www.prestasoftware.com; these names were not contacted	certspotter API with crt.sh fallback	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z
`dns-host-named`	INFO	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	prestasoftware.com NS: beau.ns.cloudflare.com, martha.ns.cloudflare.com; who to ask: CLOUDFLARENET	NS records and RDAP on the first A address, through IANA bootstrap	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z

rule	severity	confidence	observed	reference	captured (UTC)
`dnssec-off`	INFO	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	prestasoftware.com DS: no DS record at the parent zone	RFC 4033-4035 (DNSSEC): DS at the parent zone indicates a signed delegation	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z
`mta-sts-missing`	INFO	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	_mta-sts.prestasoftware.com TXT: no TXT record	RFC 8461 (MTA-STS): TXT at _mta-sts.<domain>	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z
`spf-names-left-services`	INFO	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	prestasoftware.com TXT: "v=spf1 include:_spf.mx.cloudflare.net ~all"; names: _spf.mx.cloudflare.net	RFC 7208 sections 5.2 (include) and 6.1 (redirect)	2026-11-07T15:46:35Z
`third-parties-listed`	INFO	observed	https://prestasoftware.com: analytics: none; ads_pixels: none; tag_managers: none; embeds: none; chat: none; other: static.cloudflareinsights.com	good practice	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z
`tls-rpt-missing`	INFO	public record: read in the DNS or at the domain registry, never at the site	_smtp._tls.prestasoftware.com TXT: no v=TLSRPTv1 record	RFC 8460 (SMTP TLS Reporting): TXT at _smtp._tls.<domain> with v=TLSRPTv1	2026-11-07T15:46:27Z